



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Flirting No Ground for Divorce.—A husband, in a suit for divorce, alleged that his wife had entered love-making, secret meetings, and correspondence with young men, and that such intercourse was not pure, and was in violation of the moral standards which should govern married people. However, for lack of evidence, he failed to charge any specific act of criminality. In the case of *Hancock v. Hancock*, 45 Southern Reporter, 1020, the Supreme Court of Florida holds that such allegations, stripped of insinuations, simply charge defendant in the most general way with indiscreet and imprudent conduct and relations with young men, all of which might be embraced under the term "flirting," and that however reprehensible such conduct may be in a married woman, it does not constitute one of the grounds of divorce.

Effect of Adoption by Husband Alone.—The effect of the adoption of a child by a husband without the wife taking any part in the proceedings was the point in *Re Carroll's Estate*, 68 Atlantic Reporter, 1038. The wife having died, the adopted child claimed an interest in her estate. The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania held that the proceedings by the husband created no liability on the part of the wife, and that the claim could not be upheld.

Contempt in Violating Decree for Closing Building.—In *Lewis v. Brennan*, 117 Northwestern Reporter, 279, a building used for selling liquor was declared a nuisance, and ordered closed against the sale of liquor and kept closed for a year. The order was such that if the building should be broken into and used for another purpose the parties would be subjected to a prosecution for contempt. The fact that the judge orally advised the sheriff to close the building temporarily only was held no justification, as his oral advice outside the court was of no effect.

Third Party in Jury Room.—Appellant in the case of *Commonwealth v. Lombardi*, 70 Atlantic Reporter, 122, alleged that a barber had been allowed to enter the jury room and shave the members of the jury after they had been sworn. The only word the barber uttered was "Next" at appropriate intervals. The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania remarked: "The day has gone by when jurors were kept without food or fire to coerce an unwilling agreement, and jurors are no longer regarded as wrongdoers who want only a chance to violate their duty; such situation should be regarded with common sense, * * * and a trial really fair and proper should not be set aside for the mere suspicion or appearance of irregularity shown to have done no actual injury."